

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/939,531	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOFFSTEIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kambiz Zand	<b>Art Unit</b> 2132	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kambiz Zand.

(2) Martin Novack.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 May 2005

**Time:** 2:05 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*101 issue.*

**Claims discussed:**

*62-72*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that claims 62-72 are subject to 101 non-statutory rejection due to the use of the phrase "carrier wave signal" used on page 4 of the specification. It was further agreed that in order to resolve the 101 issue, the specification be amended by Examiner's amendment by deleting the phrases "; or on carrier wave signal received through a network, wireless network or modem, including radio-frequency signals and infrared signals" from lines 32-34 of page 4 of the specification. It was also agreed that the phrase "excluding" be added instead of the phrase "or" on line 26 of page 4 of the specification. It was understood that the above changes would resolve the 101 issue and the claims would be allowable as the result.